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Abstract: BN compounds play an important role in the preparation of hyper- thin films, that have received signifcant attention in products. In this article, we investigated the electronic structures of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $N_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)}$. Triatomic NBN and BNB have recently been studied using various experimental and calculation approaches, and it is totally agreed that both of them are linear in their ground electronic step. The six ions including $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(-)}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(+)}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}, \mathrm{BN}_{2}^{(-)}, \mathrm{BN}_{2}{ }^{(+)}$and $\mathrm{BN}_{2}{ }^{(0)}$ have been studied and been also compared with one another in terms of several basis sets and predication of the symmetry breaking (SB) subject. Artifactual SB with the $v_{3}$ vibration is occurred in the trial wave functions of coupled-cluster level, even when Brueckner orbitals of all nitrogen and oxygen atoms are used. In the $D_{\infty h}$ of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $N_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)}$ molecules, the unpaired electrons are delocalized, while in the asymmetric $C_{\infty v}$, they are localized on either one of the B atoms or N atoms of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $N_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)}$, respectively. Structures with (SB), $C_{\infty v}$, can be stronger by interaction to the $D_{\infty h}$. Hereby, the second-order Jahn-Teller effect allows the unpaired electron to localize on boron atom, rather than being delocalized. Finally, from a statistical thermodynamical analysis, we calculated the thermodynamically stabilities of those six ions.
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## INTRODUCTION

## The structure of boron nitride clusters

It is generally agreed that BNB and NBN are linear in their electronic states, but the controversy as to whether their geometries are symmetric or asymmetric have not yet been definitively settled [1-10]. Six ions including $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(-)}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(+)}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}, \mathrm{BN}_{2}^{(-)}, \mathrm{BN}_{2}^{(+)}$and $\mathrm{BN}_{2}$ ${ }^{(0)}$ compounds have been studied as the most complex items of (SB), both real and artifactual, due to pseudo second-order JahnTeller effect. Although various experimental or theoretical investigations were done for these issues [7-10], there are no quantum reports of this phenomenon through various basis sets calculation [11-19]. Paldus [9] investigated the
molecular system of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}$ through multireference coupled cluster optimization with $R M R$ Hamiltonian \& CCSD (T) using cc-pVNZ, ( $\mathrm{N}=2-5$ ). In other approaches of CCSD ( T ), method, it has been also exhibited an asymmetric configuration of unequal BN bound length [1, 3]. $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}$ structure has been approved by Raman technique [4] which can be also synthesized according to the $B\left({ }^{2} P\right)+$ $N\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \rightarrow B N\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right) \quad$ and $B\left({ }^{2} P\right)+B N\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right) \rightarrow$ $B N B\left({ }^{2} \Sigma_{(u)}^{+}\right)$reactions [12]. The mathematical extrapolation suggested by Schlegel for the PMP4 calculation can be arranged as: $E_{P M P 4 \approx} E_{U M P 4}+E_{P M P 3}-E_{U M P 3}$ and $E_{\infty} \cong \frac{E_{2}+E_{3}}{1-\frac{E_{4}}{E_{2}}}$.

The related optimized parameters are also confirmed based on Figure1. The UHF/6-31G* calculation for spin expectation for $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ are collected in Table1 and Figure 1.

An accurate work on the electronic structure of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{N}_{3-\mathrm{x}}(x=1,2)$ was exhibited by Martin et al [1, 3, 5, 7 and 10] using both theoretical spectroscopic measurements.

Table 1. Electronic configurations and expectation values for <S2> by casscf/cc-pvxz ( $x=3$ ) level of theory

| Item | molecule | Point <br> group | Term | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathbf{2}}\right\rangle^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{2}}>^{\boldsymbol{b}}\right.$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{2}>^{\boldsymbol{c}}\right.$ | Electronic configuration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure 1. Stationary points for BN2, NB2, and B3 ; Bond distances in Angstrom units.

Asmis [2] confirmed the ground state structure of $B_{2} N^{-}$both by photoelectron analysis and theory and indicated its electronic configuration as $\left(\tilde{X}^{1} \sum_{g}^{+}\right)$. He also demonstrated the situation of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}\left(\tilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}\right)$ and ( $\tilde{A}^{2} \sum_{g}^{+}$) in excited state by a linear symmetry combination as reaction as follows; $\tilde{X}^{1} \sum_{g}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}+e^{-} \quad$ and $\tilde{X}^{1} \sum_{g}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{2} \sum_{g}^{+}+e^{-}$. In other side, the IR data exhibited a spectrum at $6000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\widetilde{A}^{2} \sum_{g}^{+} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+} \quad[7,8]$. The Walsh's idea [17] confirmed all $\mathrm{BN}{ }^{(-, 0,+)}$ variants of ( $\tilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}$) to ( $\tilde{X}^{1} \sum_{g}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}$ and $B_{2} N^{-}$, respectively.

Löwdin [18] exhibited; such broken-symmetry (BS) usually has a lower energy compared with the symmetry-adapted (SA). It is notable the SB phenomenon, is due to the restricted hartree fock or in opposite the ROHF potential energy surfaces (PESs) for the linear ABA type (Such as $\mathrm{NB}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{BN}_{2}$ ).
Wigner [19] confirmed that the Pauli principle and being simultaneously an Eigen function of $\left.<S^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left.<S_{Z}\right\rangle$ can be written as: $\psi_{S, M}\left(\overrightarrow{x_{1}}, \overrightarrow{x_{2}}, \ldots . \overrightarrow{x_{N}}\right)=$
$\left(\sqrt{f_{N}^{S}}\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{f_{N}^{S}} \Phi_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{r_{1}}, \overrightarrow{r_{2}}, \ldots . . \overrightarrow{r_{N}}\right) \Theta_{i}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots . . \sigma_{N}\right)$. Where, $\Phi_{i}$ is the spinless Schrödinger equation and $\Theta_{i}$ is spin eigenfunctions, and $f_{N}^{S}$ is the number of spin Eigen-functions [19]. In
this article, we focus on the $\left\langle S_{z}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ quantum numbers of various BN compounds (Table1).

## Thermochemical Considerations

Seifert et al.[20] Calculated the physical and chemical properties of boron-nitrogen clusters based on thermochemical studies. For B2N, basically 3 different structures can be written as: $\mathrm{BBN} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} 2+\mathrm{N}$; (b) $\mathrm{BBN} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}+(\mathrm{BN})$; (c) $B N B \rightarrow(B N)+B$. For BN2, the following three fragmentation reactions were considered: (a) $\mathrm{BNN} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{N} 2$; (b) $\mathrm{BNN} \rightarrow(\mathrm{BN})+\mathrm{N}$; (c) NBN $\rightarrow(\mathrm{BN})+\mathrm{N}$. It is confirmed generally, BNN should be stronger than NBN, and in the other side, BNB will be more stable than NBB. This is in agreement with the data, that B2N is appeared in mass spectra, while BN 2 is not. We also calculated the thermochemical properties of our model based on Seifert work.

## Potential energies surfaces (PESs)

PESs of linear triatomic XYX has been done for the cutting along the symmetrical and asymmetrical stretching modes. We mentioned the linear of $X-Y$ and $Y-X$ as $R 1$ and $R 2$, respectively. Cutting of the symmetrical stretching is oriented by the parameters same as $R=R 1=R 2$, and the energy minimum on the PEC $E(R)$ describes the symmetrical optimized for $R=R e$. We also define these stretching coordinates as: $\Delta(R)=R 1-R=R-R 2$. As well as the two PECs, defined by $E(R)$ and $E[\Delta(R)]$, along the $R$ and $\Delta$ orientation, respectively. Consequently, the PEC has been labeled as $E(R)$ or $V(R)$ for the symmetrical stretching form and as well as the $E[\Delta(R)]$ or $V[\Delta(R)]$ has been defined for the asymmetric al form. (Figure 2).


Figure 2. The cuts of the PES along the symmetric $\circledR^{\circledR}$ and asymmetric ( $\Delta$ ) stretching by $E(R)$ and $E(\Delta)$, respectively.

## COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS AND METHODS

CASSCF wave functions have been accomplished as a basic calculation for estimating the energies along the BN-B coordinating changes. Since the definition of active space is needed for the CASSCF wave function, all the valence orbitals of the constituent atoms, i.e., $2 s 12 p$ have been considered clearly. EPR-III (and II) basis sets of Barone's model [21] shown the most compatible data for electrostatic potential fitting (ESP).
The EPR(II) is a double- $\zeta$ basis set with polarization for $B$ to $F[21,22]$ that is needed for $\mathbf{N}_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)} \quad \mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ calculation. EPR(III) is also a triple- $\zeta$ including diffuse parameter, of $d-$ orbital, and compared with EPR(II), s-part improved for better energy minimization [2024].
The correlation of valence basis sets have been investigated by Dunning and coworkers,
named by cc-pVxZ, where $x=2,3,4,5 \& 6$ where used in this work. Additionally, these are includes of basically contracted sets of [3s2p1d] (cc-pVDZ), [4s3p2d1f] (cc-pVTZ), [5s4p3d2f 1g] (cc-pVQZ), and [6s5p4d3f 2g1h] (ccpV5Z) that are constructed through adding shell layers orbitals of $s, p, d, \ldots$ to the atomic Hartree-Fock wavefunction. Moreover, the polarization factors, which increase from 1d-cc-pVDZ to $4 d-c c-p V 5 Z$ are added.
In other sides, the active space for the CASSCF (active electrons / active orbitals) should be defined for all valence orbitals in the post HF methods, such as $11 / 12$ for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}$ and 10/ 12 for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(+)}$and so on.
The various basis sets in their effect to symmetry breaking of artifactual or trial wave function, the $\mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ have been optimized through several level of theories such as $\operatorname{CASSCF}(11 / 12) / c c P V Q Z$ for $\mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(-)}$, $\operatorname{CASSCF}(11 / 12) / A U G-c c P V T Z$ for $\mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(0)}$ and CASSCF (10/12)/ccPVTZ for $\mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(+)}$[25, 26]. For this purpose, the self correlation consistent
orbitals are well increased both in size and accuracy to converge systematically. The augmented functional sets are used from cc-$\mathrm{pVNZ}(\mathrm{N}=2-6)$ by the addition of a single diffuse orbitals for the anions and in other hand for each item, only the polarization orbitals were used. The configuration interaction (CI) including single and double items [27] have been also used for estimating several physical parameters such as natural bounding orbital (NBO), Atoms in molecules by Bader (AIM) [28], NPA or natural population analysis, electrostatic potentials, and electrostatic potential-derived charge using the Merz-Kollman-Singh[29], chelp[30], or chelpG[31]. The Hyperpolarizability has been calculated via CISD, QCISD, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ and CASSCF levels of calculations. The AIM of Bader's theory was used for measuring the critical point maps to predict the atomic behaviors in $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ species [28].
The atomic charges can be computed over several species of $\mathrm{N}_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ using abinitio quantum chemical packages such as Gaussian or GAMESS-US [32]. The potential surfaces(PS) of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{BN}_{2}$ have been studied using the post Hartree Fock methods, such as CASSCF, MP4, PMP4, and QCISD. Spin contamination is shown to present severe problems with the MP4SDQ procedure.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Molecular orbital description

## $\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~N}^{(\mp, \mathbf{0})}$

Definition of the PS was exhibited to be not entirely enough at the UHF, more not qualitatively. In our calculation $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ variants mostly are found to have a symmetric linear coordination in its ground state $\left(\widetilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}\right)$. Sometimes the asymmetric linear structure possibly being appeared a small amounts at higher temperatures. The $\mathbf{B}_{2} N^{(0)}$ configuration term of ground state ( $\widetilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}$) with electrons occupancy with the lowest excited state is: $1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 1 \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{1} \quad$ that predicted to be $\tilde{A}^{2} \Sigma_{(g)}^{+}$, with an orbital occupancy
of $1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 1 \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{2}$. In addition, $\widetilde{B}^{2} \Pi_{g}$ exited state can be written $1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}, \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \pi_{g}^{1} \quad$ (above the $\widetilde{A}^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$) is subject to the Renner-Teller effect and further exited state depends on the $\left({ }^{4} \Pi_{g}\right)$ of triplet form. The electron Configuration energies of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ both in ground and exited states, with HOMO/LUMO amounts are listed in Table2.

Table 2. Geometries and electrical properties of $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(0)}=17 e, \mathrm{~B}_{2} N^{(-)}=18 e$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(+)}=16 e$ in ground and exited states

| State <br> (*Ne) | $E_{e}$ (Hartree) | Total Configuration (Energy of $\|\alpha\rangle$ * (Homo - Lumo) ${ }^{\star \star}$ | $\beta$ Configuration Energy of $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle$ * Beta virtual** | $\begin{aligned} & r_{e}\left(B_{1} N\right) \\ & r_{e}\left(N B_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}(2,1,3,-2,-1) \\ & A_{2}(2,1,3,-1,-2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{X}^{2} \Sigma^{+} \\ & \left(C_{\infty}\right) \\ & (* 17 e) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -104.078196^{a} \\ & -104.082033^{a^{\prime}} \\ & -104.075492^{a^{\prime \prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[A], \pi^{4}, \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{1}\|\alpha\rangle} \\ =-0.44641^{a} \\ * E(\|\alpha\rangle)(-34.87083)^{a} \\ * *(-0.48614)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\boldsymbol{B}] \sigma^{1}\|\beta\rangle} \\ & =-0.26180^{a} \\ & *(-34.15046)^{a} \\ & * *(-0.17697)^{a} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{X}^{2} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \\ & \left(D_{\infty}\right) \\ & (* 17 e) \end{aligned}$ | -103.639678 | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right] \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{1}\|\alpha\rangle} \\ =-0.42477^{d} \\ \quad *(-34.74888)^{d} \\ * *(-0.49245)^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}\right], 4 \sigma_{g}^{1}\|\beta\rangle=-} \\ 0.24844^{\mathrm{d}} \\ *(-34.06924)^{d} \\ * *(-0.18742)^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3176 \\ & 1.3176^{d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}=180.0^{d} \\ & A_{2}=180.0^{d} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{A}^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+} \\ & \left({ }^{*} 17 \mathrm{e}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -104.104759^{k} \\ & -104.078173^{K^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[A^{\prime}\right] \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{2}\|\alpha\rangle} \\ =-0.44638^{u} \\ *(-34.8744)^{u} \\ * *(-0.48626)^{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right] \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{u}^{1}} \\ =-0.26134 \\ *(-34.15408) \\ * *(-0.17666) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3154^{k} \\ & 1.3154^{k} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}=180.0^{k} \\ & A_{2}=180.0^{k} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \widetilde{B}^{4} \Pi_{g} \\ \left({ }^{*} 17 \mathrm{e}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -104.029702^{h} \\ & -104.014117^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right] \pi_{u}^{4}, \pi_{g,}^{2}, \sigma_{g}^{1}\|\alpha\rangle} \\ & =-0.26899^{h} \\ & *(-35.04484)^{h} \\ & *(-0.30125)^{h} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right], \pi_{u}^{2}\|\beta\rangle} \\ =-0.4965^{h} \\ *(-33.74801) \\ * *(-0.50595) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.3079{ }^{2} \\ & * 1.3079^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * A_{1}=180.0^{x} \\ & * A_{2}=180.0^{x} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \widetilde{X}^{1} \Sigma_{g}^{+} \\ (* 18 \mathrm{e}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -104.196567^{a} \\ & -104.201914^{a^{\prime}} \\ & -104.195017^{a^{\prime \prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right] 1 \pi_{u}^{4}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{2}} \\ =-0.13904^{a} \\ * E(\|\alpha\rangle)=-32.48647^{a} \\ * *-0.36286 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.335^{y} \\ & * 1.335^{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}=* 180.0^{y} \\ & A_{2}=* 180.0^{y} \end{aligned}$ |


| State $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | $E_{e}$ (Hartree) | Total Configuration (Energy of $\|\alpha\rangle_{*}^{*}$ (Homo - Lumo)** | $\beta$ Configuration Energy of $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle$ * Beta virtual** | $\begin{aligned} & r_{e}\left(B_{1} N\right) \\ & r_{e}\left(N B_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}(2,1,3,-2,-1) \\ & A_{2}(2,1,3,-1,-2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \widetilde{X}^{1} \Sigma_{g}^{+} \\ \left({ }^{*} 18 \mathrm{e}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $-104.196566^{b}$ | * $E(\|\alpha\rangle)=-32.48644^{\text {b }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3291^{b} \\ & 1.3291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A_{1}=180.0^{b} \\ A_{2}=180.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{B}^{3} \Sigma_{g} \\ & \left({ }^{*} 16 \mathrm{e}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -103.760922^{a} \\ & -103.776711^{h} \\ & -103.762855^{a^{\prime}} \\ & -103.759058^{a^{\prime \prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left\{\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right] 4 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 3 \sigma_{u}^{1}, \pi_{u}^{4}\right\}^{a} \\ \pi_{u}^{2}\|\alpha\rangle=-0.74323^{a} \\ * E(\|\alpha\rangle)(-37.33372)^{a} \\ * *(-0.53385)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left\{\left[\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right], \pi_{u}^{2}\|\beta\rangle=-0.7522\right. \\ & * E(\|\beta\rangle)(-35.72475)^{a} \\ & \quad * *\left(-0.52131^{a}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.2976^{\imath} \\ & * 1.2976^{\imath} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A_{1}=* 180.0^{w} \\ & A_{2}=* 180.0^{w} \end{aligned}$ |

(a)QCISD/EPR-III;(d)CASSCF(11,12)/UHF;(z)b3p86/6-319*;(a"MP4D/EPR-III/QCISD/EPR-III;
(m)CASSCF(10,12)/EPR-III(x)b3lyp/6-31g*;(a")MP4SDQ/EPR-III//QCISD/EPR-III; (g)CASSCF(10,12)rohfAUG-cc-pvqz;(y)m062x/epr-ii;(b)QCISD/EPR-III([ $\boldsymbol{A}]: 1 \sigma^{2}, 2 \sigma^{2}, 3 \sigma^{2}, 4 \sigma^{2}, 5 \sigma^{2} ; \quad:(\mathbf{w}) \mathrm{b} 3 \operatorname{lyp} / 6-31 \mathrm{~g}^{*} ;(\mathbf{c}) \quad$ QCISD/EPR-II [ $\left.\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\right]: 1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}$; (v)CASSCF(11,12)/AUG-cc-pvqz;(c')MP4D/EPR-II//QCISD/EPRII:[B]: $\sigma^{1}, \sigma^{1}, \sigma^{1}, \sigma^{1}, \sigma^{1}, \pi^{2} ; ~(\mathbf{c} ") M P_{4} S D Q / E P R-I I / / Q C I S D / E P R-I I ; \quad\left[\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}\right]: 1 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{1}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{1}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{1}, 1 \pi_{u}^{2}$; (f) CBS-Iq; (h)QCISD(T)/EPR-III; [C]: $1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 4 \sigma_{g}^{2} ;(\mathbf{n}) \mathrm{CBS} 4 \mathrm{O} ;(\mathbf{u})$ TD/EPR-II ; (k) TD/EPR-III//QCISD
(T)/EPR-III; ( $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$ )TD/EPR-III/QCISD /EPR-III; [ $\left.\boldsymbol{C}^{\prime}\right]: 1 \sigma_{g}, 1 \sigma_{u}, 2 \sigma_{g}, 3 \sigma_{g}, 2 \sigma_{u}$; [D]: $1 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 1 \sigma_{u}^{2}, 3 \sigma_{g}^{2}, 2 \sigma_{u}^{2}$

Seifert confirmed that BNB molecule (Figure1.e.) is much more stable compared with BBN (Figure1.c), and that BNB will not dissociate automatically in $\mathrm{BN}+\mathrm{B}$ or $\mathrm{N} .+\mathrm{B}_{2}$. Bent quartet $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \quad\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right)$ : $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)^{2}$ $\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}\left(b_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}\right)$ is also found much more stable compared to other species due to the effect of polarization orbitals. Inspection of $\left(\langle S\rangle^{2}\right)$ denotes an excessive amount of spin contamination, actually increases, rather than decreases, spin contamination. Since $B_{2}$ is known to have a very low-lying $\left(\widetilde{X}^{5} \sum_{u}^{-}\right)$state besides the ground ( $\widetilde{X}^{3} \sum_{g}^{-}$) state, this fact can be interpreted from connecting the $\left(\widetilde{X}^{5} \sum_{u}^{-}\right) \mathrm{B}_{2}$ to the ${ }^{4} S$ nitrogen atom. Indeed, a $\left(\widetilde{X}^{6} \sum_{g}^{+}\right)$state $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(C_{\infty v}\right) ;\left\{(\sigma)^{2}(\sigma)^{2}(\sigma)^{2}(\sigma)^{2}(\sigma)^{2}(\sigma)^{2}(\pi)^{2}(\sigma)\right.$ $\left.(\pi)^{2}\right\}$ was found to lie only $23.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ above the doublet state with high value of $\langle S\rangle^{2}$. For the sextuplets contaminating $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left(\widetilde{X}^{6} \sum_{g}^{+}\right)$, the dynamic correlation would be even smaller. Indeed, $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(C_{\infty v}\right)$ $\left(\widetilde{X}^{2} \sum_{g}^{+}\right)$lies $44.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ above $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\infty v}\right)$ $\left(\widetilde{X}^{6} \sum_{g}^{+}\right)$at the UMP4 level, and $69.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ at the PMP4 level. At this situation, $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ has a symmetric linear $\left(D_{\infty h}\right)$ structure with ( $\widetilde{a}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}$); ground state with bond distance 1.31 angstrom and frequency around $20201 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It is notable that the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\widetilde{a}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}\right)$; ) can be stabilized by resonance configuration as follows:


For the quartet state, the $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ structure $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ $\left({ }^{2} B_{4}\right)$ will be most stable. It is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ except for two unpaired, while $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-}$is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ).

$$
\mathrm{N} 2 \boldsymbol{B}^{(\mp, \mathbf{0})}
$$

Although our calculations confirm the more stability of BNN than NBN at the global minimum, at the casscf/ccpvxz ( $x=3,4$ ) levels, the linear quartet structures of $\mathrm{BN}_{2}\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{4} \Pi_{g}\right)$ (Tables1\&2) exhibited an symmetrical structure, while $\left(C_{\infty v}\right) \quad\left({ }^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)$shown asymmetrical situation and are predicted to be most stable. At the casscf/cc-pvxz ( $x=4,5$ ) levels on the other hand, the $\mathrm{BN}_{2}\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{4} \Pi_{g}\right)$ and $\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left({ }^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)$is reversed and the bent doublet structure ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right)$ is stabilized. During spin rotation, the symmetric linear doublet form of $\mathrm{BN}_{2} \quad\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{g}\right)$ approaches towards $\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left({ }^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)$. In addition, an asymmetric linear doublet $\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{g}\right)$ can only be found after considerable amount, even with a lower energy by having a nonzero gradient on the bond angle. At the correlated optimization, $\mathrm{BN}_{2}$ $\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{g}\right)$ convert to be the most stable structure at this point and through spin rotation, $\mathrm{BN}_{2}\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{g}\right)$ has only a little different with above items. Both of them are due to RennerTeller effect that theire frequencies are imaginary. As it can be seen in Table2, a lower energy exists for $\mathrm{BN}_{2}$ $\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{g}\right)$ at the Hartree-Fock level and also the Hartree fock frequencies may give imaginary variables due to the problem with $\left(C_{\infty v}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Pi_{u}\right)$ too. At the $\mathrm{MP}_{4}$ SDQ/EPR-II//QCISD/EPR-II levels (Table 2), the bent structure is less stable that the linear one. At the $\mathrm{MP}_{4}$ SDQ/EPR-III//QCISD/EPR-III levels, the Hartree-Fock result is confirmed at the


Table 3. Harmonic frequencies, IR intensities and Raman activities of the most important clusters.


## Thermochemical studies of B2N and BN2

The concerned formulas are exhibited in many textbooks of statistical quantum thermodynamics; expressions may also be found Herzberg book [33, 34] that was used in
the present work. Table4 data exhibit the calculated energies of related reaction at the MP4/6-31G*, PMP4/6-31G*, CCD/6-31G*, and CCD + ST(CCD)/6-31G* levels, along with the LCAO-LDA.

Table 4. Energies ( $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) of boron nitried reactions at various levels.

| Reaction | $\mathrm{MP}_{4} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{EPR}-$ <br> III// <br> QCISD/EPR- <br> IIII | QCISD/ <br> EPR-III | CASSCF(10,12) <br> /EPR-III | TD/EPR- <br> III// <br> QCISD | QCISD/ <br> EPR-II | CBS-Iq |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \mathrm{BN}+\mathrm{B}$ | 6.44 | 5.34 | 5.74 | 5.03 | 4.99 | 4.87 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2}+\mathrm{N}$ | 7.35 | 7.12 | 7.24 | 6.99 | 6.04 | 5.99 |
| $\mathrm{BN}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{BN}+\mathrm{N}$ | 4.91 | 4.21 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.21 |
| $\mathrm{BN}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{B}$ | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.09 |

Comparing the quantities optimization of Seifert et al. with our data in this work, it is confirmed that BNB $\left\{\left(D_{\infty h}\right)\left({ }^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)\right\}$is much more stable than BBN $\left(\left(C_{\infty v}\right) \quad\left({ }^{2} \Sigma_{g}^{+}\right)\right)$, and therefore BNB will not dissociate automatically in either $\mathrm{BN}+\mathrm{B}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{N}$.

Quantum symmetry breaking of $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~B}^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ and partial charges

One of the targets of this work is to illustrate the difference among the electromagnetic
situation of the $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ species due to changing basis sets and methods and also the quantum $S B$ report due to trial wave function. In $D_{\infty h}$ position of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$, the unpaired electron is delocalized, while in the asymmetric $C_{\infty v}$, it is localized on either one of the $B$ atoms. $C \infty_{v}$ by SB structure becomes stronger through changing to $D_{\infty h}$. Based on quantum chemistry theories, the second-order Jahn-Teller effect allows the unpaired electron to localize on boron atom, rather than being delocalized (Table 2 and Figure 3-a and 3-b).


Figure 3. The $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(-, 0,+)}$ relative energies versus $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}$ bond distance in (a) for cation, (b), for radical.

The unpaired electron of nitrogen in $B_{2} N^{(0)}$ ( $D_{\infty h}$ ) is delocalized and it is localized in the asymmetric ( $C_{\infty v}$ ) for both of $B_{2} N^{(, 0)}$ and $B_{2} N^{(-)}$ species. Moreover, there is a restriction for the unpaired electron of nitrogen of BNB for delocalization between $D_{\infty h}$ and $C_{\infty v}$ symmetries states. These limitations between localized and non-localized situations increase the SB effect of the $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ species. Meanwhile, ESP, forces, $\gamma=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{BN}}$, attraction and repulsion energies $(\mathrm{eV})$ of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ in ground and exited states are listed in table2. The MESP fitting for $B_{2} N^{(, 0)}$ and the summation of partial charges for two boron and one nitrogen ( $B^{\delta q_{1}}-N^{\delta q_{2}}-B^{\delta q_{3}}$ ) in all models from $\Delta=0.0$ to 0.07 are zero (Table 2). Obviously, the summation of partial charges in $B^{\delta q_{1}}-N^{\delta q_{2}}-B^{\delta q_{3}}$ for $B_{2} N^{(0)}$ radical is not 0.0 and it has changed according to: $(0.23+0.23+0.52=0.98) \quad$ for $\Delta^{u}=0.0$, $(0.24+0.23+0.52=0.99) \quad$ for $\Delta^{u}=0.02$. The changes among $\Delta^{u}, \Delta^{k}$ and $\Delta^{x}$ is due to various methods and basis sets that are used in our work. Certainly, it is related to the the
summation of partial charges in $B_{2} N^{(0)}$ radical that is far from 0.0 and varies between 0.00 and 0.16 . It could be due to the fact that the unpaired electron of nitrogen is localized while $B_{2} N^{(-)}$is under the influence of the unpaired electron.
Interestingly, for the exited state of $B_{2} N^{(0)}$, changing of MESP for both exited and ground states of $\left(\widetilde{B}^{4} \Pi_{g}\right)$, ( $\left.\widetilde{X}^{2} \sum_{u}^{+}\right)$, respectively are small. The sum of partial charges (in $B^{\delta q_{1}}-N^{\delta q_{2}}-B^{\delta q_{3}}$ ) for all items from $\Delta=0.0$ to $\Delta=0.2$ are -1 , however, the total partial charges of $B^{\delta q_{1}}-N^{\delta q_{2}}-B^{\delta q_{3}}$ for $B_{2} N^{(-)}$are not -1 . The nitrogen of $B_{2} N^{(-,+, 0)}$ is always positive for radical and anion and negative for cation, while in exited states, the sum of partial atomic charges are positive for all three forms. As it can be seen in Figure 4, radical or anion $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(-, 0)}$ has not been appeared from these kind calculation, though, for cation, there is a critical point at $90^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{MP}_{4} \mathrm{DQ}$ and $\mathrm{MP}_{4} \mathrm{DSQ}$ methods.


Figure 4. Relative energies of $\mathrm{B}_{2} N^{(-,+)}$versus $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}$ bond angle in various levels of theory.

From the SCF calculation, the minimum energy related to a bent structure with an angle around $90^{\circ}$, however, for the $\operatorname{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$, $\mathrm{MP}_{4} \mathrm{DQ}$, $\mathrm{MP}_{4} \mathrm{DSQ}$ and HF/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations (Figure 2), the linear situation has
the lowest energies for radical and anion. By consideration wave function, when the BNB has $D_{\infty h}$, the real wave-function might be converting as an irreducible representation of the $D_{\infty h}$. Table5 presents data for the
association enthalpy, entropy, and free energy from the statistical thermodynamic and
partition functions, as well as values for the absolute entropy [35-44].

Table 5. Calculated thermodynamic properties at some selected temperatures.

| Temperature (K) | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(-)}$ | $\mathrm{BN}_{2}{ }^{-(-)}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathbf{N}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{BN}_{2}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{BN}_{2}{ }^{\text {(0) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Association enthalpy (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | -266.5 | -225.1 | -208.5 | -199.09 | 193.07 | 194.08 |
| 300 | -266.1 | -227.1 | -211.4 | -205.03 | -201.05 | -207.02 |
| 1000 | -269.1 | -228.9 | -210.08 | -205.96 | -204.55 | -203.63 |
| 20000 | -269.8 | -230.1 | -203.05 | -202.08 | -205.01 | -208.07 |
| Free energy of association (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | -264.92 | -225.04 | -206.99 | -199.04 | 200.05 | 200.49 |
| 300 | -247.97 | -206.95 | -192.05 | -185.09 | -203.05 | -200.06 |
| 1000 | -203.97 | -160.08 | -135.95 | -131.40 | -207.95 | -202.91 |
| 20000 | -139.95 | -89.92 | -65.04 | -75.83 | -204.09 | -205.06 |

## CONCLUSION

The potential surfaces of $B_{2} N^{(\mp, 0)}$ and $N_{2} B^{(\mp, 0)}$, have been studied using several post HF and basis sets methods. CASSCF optimization exhibited SB phenomenon for linear BNB containing an unequal bond length. We found for yielding accurate data doing perturbation theory (CASSCF+PT2) calculation and multireference (CI) with (CASSCF+1+2) wave functions are needed. In summary, we have clearly shown, where the SB problem is hidden , usual way to circumvent of peculiarities are the most important feature of this work. In particular, we find the low value for the anti-symmetric stretching fundamental frequency very encouraging, providing an additional support to the idea of actual symmetry breaking in the BNB ground state.
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